

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/961,362	09/25/2001	Dan Dan Yang	42041-0003	5924	
7590 10/04/2005			EXAMINER		
Cassan Maclean			PAYNE, DAVID C		
Suite 401 80 Aberdeen S	treet	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Ottawa, Ontario, K1S 5R5			2638		
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005	DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
			,362	YANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Exami	ner	Art Unit					
		David (	C. Payne	2638	·				
	- The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on	the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress				
Period for									
WHIC - Extense after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comp period for reply is specified above, the maximum si e to reply within the set or extended period for reply perly received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN be event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MC application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status			•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>16 June 2008</u>	<u>5</u> .	·					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
ı	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	on of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠₋	⊠₋ Claim(s). <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	on Papers			•					
9)[] 1	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.	·						
10)[] 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) ☐ accepted or	b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any obje		•						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)[1	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner.	Note the attache	ed Office Action or form F	PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
=	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•		n received in this Nationa	al Stage				
	application from the Internation								
- 8	ee the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the co	ertified copies no	t received.					
Attachment	(s)								
1) 🔀 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (lation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o			(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)				
	No(s)/Mail Date	F10/30/00)	6) Other: _		· <b></b> ,				

Application/Control Number: 09/961,362

Art Unit: 2638

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

 The indicated allowability of claims 1-5 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Kasahara et al. US 6804469 B2 (Kasahara) and Stockstad et al. US 5671375 A (Stockstad).
 Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasahara et al. US 6804469 B2 (Kasahara) in view of Stockstad et al. US 5671375 A (Stockstad).

Re claim 1, Kasahara disclosed

A method of communicating between a host optical system controller (1 of Figure 1) and an optical subcontroller (7 of Figure 1, 12 of Figure 2), the method comprising: sending an initial command message from said host to said subcontroller, said command message including a first command identifier indicating a command from said host to said subcontroller; receiving said first command message at said subcontroller; consulting a command database at said subcontroller to interpret said first command message, said database containing mulitple possible valid command identifiers, each command identifier having a corresponding interpretation and action in said database based on a specific type of optical device connected to said subcontroller; at said subcontroller, implementing an action corresponding to said first command message based on said corresponding interpretation of

Application/Control Number: 09/961,362

Art Unit: 2638

said command identifier and formulating a proper response to said command identifier based on a result of said corresponding action; and sending a response message from said subcontroller to said host,

(e.g., col./line(s): 4/1-20, 6/5-45, 8/40-55, 9/14-25, 12/30-35).

Kasahara does not disclose where the response is explicitly a success or failure or wherein said subcontroller ignores subsequent command messages received prior to sending a response message responsive to the first command message.

Stockstad disclosed ignoring command messages which fall outside of a command response window (220 of Figure 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to subsequent command messages received prior to sending a response message responsive to the first command message in the Kasahara invention. One is motivated as such since a proper state machine would allow completion of a current command by acknowledging the first action before accepting new commands from a host controller and thereby reducing the possibility of error. Furthermore, given that success and failure acknowledgments are extremely well known in the art for command/response protocols it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention include these responses in the Kasahara invention as a matter of updating the host controller on the state of the subcontroller.

Re claims 2-4, the modified invention as taught disclosed in the 2nd embodiment a method of achieving gain stabilization against the characteristic variations with time of the optical amplifier repeaters 5 operating on the basis of the identification expressions, see e.g., Kasahara e.g., col./line(s): 14/60-67, 15/1-5). it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to manage a magnitude of the amplifier to manage the gain tilt.

Re claim 5, the modified invention as taught disclosed wherein said first command message further includes addressing data indicating a portion of an optical subsystem controlled by said optical

Application/Control Number: 09/961,362 Page 4

Art Unit: 2638

subcontroller to which the command is to be applied. see Kasahara e.g., col./line(s): 6/5-15.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to David C. Payne whose telephone number is (571) 272-3024. The examiner can normally

be reached on M-F, 7a-4p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dcp

David C. Payre
Patent Examiner

AU 2638